## Public acceptance of CCS/CCUS technology in onshore areas in NW Poland

## Dariusz Wojakowski<sup>1</sup>, Oluf Langhelle<sup>2</sup>, Mohsen Assadi<sup>3</sup>, Stanislaw Nagy<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,4</sup>AGH University of Science and Technology, Krakow

<sup>2, 3</sup>University of Stavanger, Norway

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

E-mail: <sup>1</sup>wojakowski@agh.edu.pl, <sup>2</sup>oluf.langhelle@uis.no, <sup>3</sup>mohsen.assadi@uis.no, <sup>4</sup>nagy@agh.edu.pl

Accepted 19 September 2022 DOI https://doi.org/10.21595/bcf.2022.22935

Check for updates

Baltic Carbon Forum 2022 in Kaunas, Lithuania, October 13-14, 2022

Copyright © 2022 Dariusz Wojakowski, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** The research is a part of the AGaStor project realized in AGH-UST and University of Stavanger. The aim of the paper is to present social aspects of the developing the CCS/US technology in Poland described as social awareness (SA) and public acceptance (PA). The main research questions of the CCS/US PA concentrates on knowledge, acceptance of the technology, risks and benefits, the existence of NIMBY movements [1].

The quantitative method of analysis of CCS PA is a survey method. The most of the former research was realized only in small communities [2, 3]. The AGaStor research describes the mezzo-social level of the CCS/US PA. The randomized sample (N = 695) was made in Zachodniopomorskie region (West-North Poland) in 2021. It allows to recognize differences of the level of CCS/US PA in different in that part of Poland. The main variables which influence CCS/US PA are: place of living, education, economic situations and general worldview of the respondents.

The results show the correlation between place of living and CCS PA (higher PA in big cities); education with CCS SA (higher declarations of knowledge and SA by well educated people); NIMBY potential in villages and small towns, and the pro-technological worldview with the CCS PA. The research points that the main social obstacle is the lack of knowledge about the CCS/US technology. Even respondents who declare the general acceptation of new technologies in energy production are ambivalent towards acceptance of CCS/US.

**Keywords:** carbon capture and storage (CCS), social awareness of CCS/CCUS, public acceptance of CCS/CCUS, social research of the public acceptance of new technologies.

## References

- P. Tcvetkov, A. Cherepovitsyn, and S. Fedoseev, "Public perception of carbon capture and storage: A state-of-the-art overview," *Heliyon*, Vol. 5, No. 12, p. e02845, Dec. 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02845
- [2] S. Brunsting et al., "Social Site Characterisation for CO2 Storage Operations to Inform Public Engagement in Poland and Scotland," *Energy Procedia*, Vol. 37, pp. 7327–7336, 2013, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2013.06.671
- [3] M. Kaiser, R. Zimmer, S. Brunsting, J. Mastop, and M. Pol, "Development of CCS Projects in Poland. How to Communicate with the Local Public?," *Energy Procedia*, Vol. 51, pp. 267–273, 2014, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.07.031