Fissure prediction based on wavelet transform and complex signal analysis technology

Kaiqian Xiao1 , Guanting Liang2 , Hongwei Zheng3 , Weigang Zhao4 , Qian [Zhang5](https://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.21595/vp.2019.20592&domain=pdf&date_stamp=2019-03-15) , Chao Gao6

1, 2, 3Wuhan Municipal Construction Group Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China

^{1, 2, 3}Hubei Zixing Changjiang River Bridge Construction Development Co., Ltd., Zigui, China

 $4,5,6$ Shijiazhuang Tiedao University, Shijiazhuang, China 5 Corresponding author

E-mail: ¹ *lgtwhsz@126.com*, 2*xkqian@qq.com*, 3*378107036@qq.com*, 4*2421787452@qq.com*, 5*zhangqian@stdu.edu.cn*, 6*1458571255@qq.com*

Received 16 February 2019; accepted 23 February 2019 DOI https://doi.org/10.21595/vp.2019.20592

Check for updates

Copyright © 2019 Kaiqian Xiao, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. The fissure is one of the most important and active master control factors inducing geohazards such as water outburst and mud gushing. The precise and immediate forecasting fissure is a key problem to be studied and resolved currently in tunnel design and construction. Complex signal analysis is introduced to the prediction of water with the ground penetrating radar (GPR) to analyze the water response in the radar data from instantaneous amplitude, instantaneous phase and instantaneous frequency, therefore the accuracy of fissure prediction is improved. However, a large number of effective information in the instantaneous frequency will be covered by noise, thus affecting the accuracy of the prediction. To solve the problem, this paper proposes a method to improve the accuracy of fissure prediction by combining wavelet transform and complex signal analysis. In this method, wavelet transform can effectively suppress the noise, when there is no large loss of signal instantaneous frequency. At last, the engineering example demonstrates the validity of the proposed approach.

Keywords: fissure, ground penetrating radar, wavelet transform, complex signal analysis.

1. Introduction

The unfavorable geological development of karst areas in China is very extensive, especially in Southwest China. Currently, more and more tunnels have been under construction in such areas, with Western Development and national economy developing rapidly. It's dangerous to engineer under the risk induced by fissure, and the security of both workers and projects cannot be ensured, with bad effects of environments following. To avoid abandoning the developing tunnels and changing them to another track, it has been essential a lot to make accurate predictions about fissure [1-4].

Based on the problem of fissure outburst, the scholars take a variety of methods to forecast the wave in front of the tunnel face. For instance, hydrogeological analysis method, transient electromagnetic method, resistivity method, ground penetrating radar (GPR) method, Infrared detection method and so on. What's more, the GPR method is one effective tool for predicting the groundwater of the kind mentioned, it's a preferably method to forecast the fissure in front of the tunnel face as well nowadays [5].

Functional signal process mode is required for GPR to detect unfavorable geological body underground correctly. At present, signal processing technology has become mature after decades of development, such as classical Fourier spectrum analysis. However, when applying GPR to detect fissure, for the heterogeneity of underground medium, signals transmitted by radar will be attenuated by the absorption of the medium during the propagation, and will be refracted or reflected by the differences of dielectric constant. The signals above add together, making it burdensome to process the data, with the various interference adding, as a result it's not easy to get preferable consequences applying Fourier spectrum analysis.

The Complex Signal Analysis Technology: collect multiple arguments' profiles, starting from the three aspects of instantaneous amplitude, instantaneous phase and instantaneous frequency of reflected wave to study the abnormal information of radar signals, so we can make effective predictions of fissure. However, the technology magnify random noise when the instantaneous parameters are acquired, especially when the instantaneous frequency of complex signals are acquired.

To solve the problem, this paper proposes a method to improve the accuracy of fissure prediction by combining wavelet transform and complex signal analysis. In this method, wavelet transform can effectively suppress the noise, when there is no large loss of signal instantaneous frequency. The structure of this paper is arranged as follows, in the second section, the principle of complex signal analysis technique to predict karst fissure water is derived; in the third section, the wavelet transform is analyzed; the fourth section verifies the effectiveness of the proposed method; finally, the conclusion is given in the fifth section.

2. Prediction of fissure by complex signal analysis

The reflected signal received by the radar antenna can be expressed as:

$$
m(t) = A(t)\cos[\omega_0 t + \varphi(t)],
$$
\n(1)

t is the time variable, $A(t)$ refers to the amplitude, which is related to the radiation intensity of the transmitting antenna, the receiving sectional area of the receiving antenna, the underground medium absorption coefficient, and the instrument gain, ω_0 is the centered frequency, $\varphi(t)$ is the phase position of $m(t)$.

According to Eq. (1), the amplitude, phase, frequency and other parameter information are contained in a unified real signal. It is difficult to get the change rule of single parameter from the change of real signal, which affects the accuracy of karst fracture prediction.

Complex signal analysis is a signal processing technology that extracts the instantaneous amplitude, instantaneous phase and instantaneous frequency of the real signal received by the radar through a series of operations in the time domain. The first step of acquiring complex signals is to carry out Hilbert transformation on real signals received by radar.

The Hilbert transformation of the real signal can be expressed as:

$$
m(t) = m(t) * h(t) = m(t) * \frac{1}{\pi t} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{m(\tau)}{t - \tau} d\tau, \quad h(t) = \frac{1}{\pi t}.
$$
 (2)

The frequency response of $h(t)$ with the Fourier transform is:

$$
H(\omega) = -j \text{sgn}\omega, \quad \text{sgn}\omega = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega > 0, \\ -1, & \omega < 0. \end{cases} \tag{3}
$$

So the Hilbert transformation of $m(t)$ in the frequency domain can be expressed as:

$$
\widehat{M}(\omega) = M(\omega) \cdot H(\omega) = M(\omega) \cdot [-jsgn\omega]. \tag{4}
$$

 $H(\omega)$ is called Hilbert filter, the amplitude-frequency characteristic is all-pass type, and the phase-frequency characteristic is $-\pi/2$ phase shift:

$$
s(t) = m(t) + j\hat{m}(t) = A(t)\cos[\omega_0 t + \varphi(t)] + jA(t)\sin[\omega_0 t + \varphi(t)]
$$

= $A(t)\exp[j(\omega_0 t + \varphi(t))] = A(t)\exp[j\theta(t)].$ (5)

According to the real signal $m(t)$ and its Hilbert transformation, a complex signal can be obtained, as shown in Eq. (5).

 $s(t)$ is the complex signal representation of the real signal $m(t)$ received by the radar, $A(t)$ is the instantaneous amplitude of the complex signal $s(t)$, $\theta(t) = (\omega_0 t + \varphi(t))$ is the instantaneous phase of the complex signal. The derivative of $\theta(t)$ with respect to time is the instantaneous frequency of the complex signal $s(t)$, as shown below:

$$
\omega(t) = \frac{d\theta(t)}{dt} = \omega_0 + \frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt}.
$$
\n(6)

In the case of little change about $\varphi(t)$, $d\varphi(t)/dt$ can be approximated as a constant K. At this point, the instantaneous frequency $\omega(t)$ of the complex signal $s(t)$ depends only on the central frequency ω_0 , Eq. (6) can be converted into:

$$
\omega(t) = \omega_0 + K. \tag{7}
$$

Instantaneous amplitude of complex signal can be understood as an intuitive description of the reflected signal energy received by ground penetrating radar. According to the variation of instantaneous amplitude, we can infer the trend of energy loss caused by the transmission distance, the absorption of energy of the medium and the dielectric constant among the media. When the signal reaches the contact surface of different geological bodies, for the prominent difference of dielectric constants between them, the instantaneous amplitude changes, so we can judge the information like forms or something else of underground geological bodies on the basis of the variation of the instantaneous amplitude.

The instantaneous phase of complex signals the can be used to measure the continuity of the phase axis in GPR profiles, which will change strikingly in the anomaly of underground media and show an obvious discontinuity in the profile graph, thus, we can apply the instantaneous phase to forecast the abnormality underground. As there are phase discontinuities in instantaneous phase profiles, there exist abnormalities. The instantaneous phase is independent of the energy of the transmitted signal, so no matter how strong or weak the signal is, the instantaneous phase information will appear stably in theory.

The instantaneous frequency of complex signal can be obtained by derivation of the instantaneous phase. Numerically, it is related to the central frequency of the reflected signal. When the transmitted signal reaches the dielectric surface, the reflected signal will be reduced for the medium absorption effect. On the basis of the numerical value and the speed of change of instantaneous frequency, the information of geological body can be determined.

3. Improving prediction accuracy by wavelet transform

To make effective forecasts on fissure, we can apply complex analysis to GPR in prediction, extract profiles of a number of parameters, and start from the three aspects of instantaneous amplitude, instantaneous phase and instantaneous frequency of reflected wave to study the abnormal information of radar signals. However, it is inevitable to generate noise signals when using GPR in practice, and the noise signals will be amplified, especially when contracting the instantaneous frequencies of complex signals, leading a large amount of valid information covered by noise, as a result, it become burdensome to analyze the signals, and the accuracy is affected.

In recent years, wavelet theory has been widely used in the field of denoising. The wavelet transform is based on the characteristic that the time and frequency are delocalized. The frequency component remains the same on the time axis. The frequency conversion does not affect the linearity of the signal, which show the time characteristics and frequency characteristics at the same time [6-11]. Therefore, on the basis of complex signal analysis technology, wavelet transform is introduced to deal with the noise processing problem in this paper.

Wavelet is small area wave. It is a special wave with finite length and zero mean value. In the time domain, there are two characteristics of Compact Support or approximate Compact Support

and Positive-and-negative-alternately volatility.

For a given signal $f(t) \in L^2$, we call:

$$
WT_f(a,b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \, \overline{\psi} \left(\frac{t-b}{a} \right) dt,\tag{8}
$$

as the wavelet transform of signal $f(t)$. α is a factor of shrinkage or a scale factor, and b is a shift factor. For the variability of \overline{a} and \overline{b} , the wavelet has time-frequency localization characteristics. Thus, it demonstrates an unique analytical capability for non-stationary signals. During the wavelet analysis, window of wavelet $\psi_{(a,b)}(t)$ gradually widen with the scale factor a increasing. Considering a wide range of time axes, it is equivalent to using low frequency wavelet to do profile analysis in frequency domain; for higher frequency noise signals, filtering capability is also enhanced.

The wavelet factors represent the approximation of wavelet functions and analysis signals: the larger the wavelet factor is, the closer the signal is to the wavelet function waveform meanwhile. It contains more noisy signals at lower scales, and contains more analytical signals at large scales, so the summation of wavelet factors at different scales can amplify the original signal and strengthen signals that are difficult to recognize in noise, consequently, the signals covered by the original signals are discovered.

There is no uniform standard for the selection of wavelet bases at present. However, the closer the graph of the transformed signal is to the wavelet figure, the more effective the denoising process is according to experience. Besides, when wavelet bases are selected, the orthogonality, compact support, symmetry and smoothness of wavelet should also be considered. According to the analysis of [12], this paper chooses wavelet mexh as the wavelet base of denoising.

4. Experimental analysis

In this section, the validity of the proposed method is verified by the measured data. The detection USES a 100 MHz central frequency antenna, and the sampling point is 512. The schematic diagram of line layout is shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 2 shows the processing results of the complex signal analysis technique, in which Fig. 2(a) shows the instantaneous amplitude profile, Fig. 2(b) shows the instantaneous phase profile, and Fig. 2(c) shows the instantaneous frequency profile. As can be seen from Fig. 2, some effective information is submerged in noise, and it is difficult to see anomalies from the profile (especially the instantaneous frequency profile). Therefore, it is necessary to adopt wavelet transform to improve the prediction accuracy.

Fig. 1. Map of GPR survey line

Fig. 3 is the result of the wavelet filtering. Comparing Figs. 2 and 3, we can see that the wavelet transform can improve the prediction accuracy, and the abnormal region is shown in Fig. 3.

After further construction verification, area 2, 3 is the kasrt-fractured water, and area 1 is karst cave. It coincides with the prediction result. It verifies the feasibility and accuracy of this method to predict fissure.

FISSURE PREDICTION BASED ON WAVELET TRANSFORM AND COMPLEX SIGNAL ANALYSIS TECHNOLOGY. KAIQIAN XIAO, GUANTING LIANG, HONGWEI ZHENG, WEIGANG ZHAO, QIAN ZHANG, CHAO GAO

5. Conclusions

On the basis of complex signal analysis technology, wavelet transform is introduced to deal with the noise problem in this paper. First, use the complex signal analysis technology to make a comprehensive judgment on the abnormal information in the radar signals based on instantaneous amplitude, instantaneous phase and instantaneous frequency. Then, aiming at the problem that amounts of valid information is covered by noise when make forecast on fissure using complex signal analysis, the wavelet filtering is used to denoise the processing results of complex signal analysis technology, so as to improve the accuracy of fissure prediction. At last, an engineering example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation for Young Scientists of China under Grant No. 51609138.

References

- **[1] Liu Zhao-Wei, He Man-Chao, Wang Shu-Ren** Study of karst waterburst mechanism and prevention countermeasures in Yuanliangshan tunnel. Rock and Soil Mechanics, Vol. 27, Issue 2, 2006, p. 228-232.
- **[2] Li Li-Ping, Li Shu-Cai, Zhang Qing-Song** Study of mechanism of water inrush induced by hydraulic fracturing in karst tunnels. Rock and Soil Mechanics, Vol. 31, Issue 2, 2010, p. 523-528.
- **[3] Wu Q., Wang M., Wu X.** Investigations of groundwater bursting into mine seam floors from fault zones. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Ming Sciences, Vol. 41, Issue 4, 2004, p. 557-571.
- **[4] Liu Bin, Li Shu-Cai, Li Shu-Chen, Zhang Qing-Song, et al.** Study of application of complex signal analysis to predicting karst-fractured ground water with GPR. Rock and Soil Mechanics, Vol. 30, Issue 7, 2009, p. 2192-2196.
- **[5] Li Shu-Cai, Li Shu-Chen, Zhang Qing-Song, et al.** Forecast of karst-fractured groundwater and defective geological conditions. Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics and Engineering, Vol. 26, Issue 2, 2007, p. 217-225.
- **[6] Wu Guang-Wen, Wang Chang-Ming, Bao Jian-Dong, Chen Yong, Hu Yang-Po** A wavelet threshold de-noising algorithm based on adaptive threshold function. Journal of Electronics and Information Technology, Vol. 36, Issue 6, 2014, p. 1340-1347.
- **[7] Liang Guilan, Xu Weiya, Tan Xiaolong, et al.** Denoising processing of safety monitoring data for high rock slope based on wavelet transform. Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics and Engineering, Vol. 27, Issue 9, 2008, p. 1837-1844.
- **[8] Li J., Cheng C. K., Jiang T. Y.** Wavelet de-noising of partial discharge signals based on genetic adaptive threshold estimation. IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, Vol. 19, Issue 2, 2012, p. 543-549.
- **[9] Sanam T. F., Shahnaz C.** Noisy speech enhancement based on an adaptive threshold and a modified hard thresholding function in wavelet packet domain. Digital Signal Processing, Vol. 23, Issue 3, 2013, p. 941-951.
- **[10] Liu W. Y., Han J. G.** The optimal Mexican hat wavelet filter de-noising method based on crossvalidation method. Neurocomputing, Vol. 108, 2013, p. 31-35.
- **[11] Stefan W., Chen K. W., Guo H. B., et al.** Wavelet-based de-noising of positron emission tomography scans. Journal of Scientific Computing, Vol. 50, Issue 3, 2012, p. 665-677.
- **[12] Wang C., Shen F. M.** Study of wavelet transform in ground penetration radar weak signal denoising. Geophysical and Geochemical Exploration, Vol. 39, Issue 2, 2015, p. 421-424.